

# CTAO science perspective on black hole physics

2<sup>nd</sup> CTAO Science Symposium – Bologna 15-18 April 2024 Elisa Prandini elisa.prandini@unipd.it

## Outline





## **Focus** on blazars: selected recent results and studies

- Towards a TeV blazar sequence
  - CTAO Extragalactic survey
- Variability at different scales
  - AGN monitoring and flares

## **TeV-detected extragalactic black holes**







## **TeV-detected blazars dichotomy**





From https://www2.mpia-hd.mpg.de/jets2021/Petropoulou\_slides.pdf

<u>See poster by P. Goldoni</u> Redshift Measurement of Gamma-Ray Blazars for the Cherenkov Telescope Array

4

## **TeV-detected extragalactic black holes**







cherenkov telescope array

## The TeV blazar population





- Anti-correlation between **synchrotron peak** location and radio **luminosity** (Fossati+1998)
  - Debated (Giommi 2012, Potter and Cotter 2015, Fan 2017, Keenan+ 2021, Wan+ 2024)





- Anti-correlation between **synchrotron peak** location and radio **luminosity** (Fossati+1998)
  - Debated (Giommi 2012, Potter and Cotter 2015, Fan 2017, Keenan+ 2021, Wan+ 2024)
  - Fermi-blazar sequence (Ghisellini+2017):
    - Bins in Fermi-LAT luminosity
    - FSRQs: synchro peak stable
    - BL Lacs: synchro peak anticorrelates with luminosity





- Anti-correlation between **synchrotron peak** location and radio **luminosity** (Fossati+1998)
  - Debated (Giommi 2012, Potter and Cotter 2015, Fan 2017, Keenan+ 2021, Wan+ 2024)
- Fermi-blazar sequence (Ghisellini+2017):
- TeV blazar sequence (EP&Ghisellini 2022)
  - No strong differences between the SED of Fermi blazars and TeV-detected ones
  - X-ray luminosity is generally larger than the average of the *Fermi* blazars





- Anti-correlation between **synchrotron peak** location and radio **luminosity** (Fossati+1998)
  - Debated (Giommi 2012, Potter and Cotter 2015, Fan 2017, Keenan+ 2021, Wan+ 2024)
- Fermi-blazar sequence (Ghisellini+2017):
- TeV blazar sequence (EP&Ghisellini 2022)
  - No strong differences between the SED of Fermi blazars and TeV-detected ones
  - X-ray luminosity is generally larger than the average of the *Fermi* blazars
- TeV luminosity and variability (Ouyang+ 2023)
  - Anticorrelation only in sources during flaring states



Righi, Prandini, Viale, Bovolon in prep.

## **Current generation of IACTs: exposure**



The current knowledge of the TeV sky is strongly **biased** by a highly non-uniform coverage in the observations  $90^{\circ}$ 



## The extragalactic survey



- Consists of a survey with uniform exposure of a contiguous portion of the extragalactic sky
- From the 'Science with CTA' book:
  - ¼ of the sky
  - 6 mCrab of integral sensitivity E>125 GeV
- These values and observation strategy could be revised by the CTAC team



- LogN/LogS
- Serendipitous discoveries
- Variable sources

## The extragalactic survey



- Consists of a survey with uniform exposure of a contiguous portion of the extragalactic sky
- From the 'Science with CTA' book:
  - ¼ of the sky
  - 6 mCrab of integral sensitivity E>125 GeV
- These values and observation strategy could be revised by the CTAC team

<u>See Poster By A. Acharyya</u>: Active Galactic Nuclei population studies with the Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory





cherenkov telescope array

## **Blazar variability**

### **Variability studies**









## Search for periodicity in PG 1553+113 with MAGIC

- The HBL PG 1553+113 is located at z~0.4 (Dorigo Jones 2021)
- **2.2y periodicity** in *Fermi*-LAT and, possibly, in optical
- Monitored regularly with MAGIC since 2015





## Search for periodicity in PG 1553+113 with MAGIC





VHE gamma ravs

MWL folded

ň 1.5

Norm

-0.4

-0.2 0.0

0.2 0.4

#### FSRQ PKS 1510-089: intranight variability (in the currently best monitored FSRQ)



#### H.E.S.S. and MAGIC Coll. 2021

- PKS 1510-089 is the only FSRQ detected at TeV both in low and high-state
- H.E.S.S. and MAGIC: during regular **monitoring** in 2016, found a common intra-night variability timescale of **1.5hr**
- Combined with radio and MWL observations: emission constrained outside the BLR





## Source monitoring with CTAO

- Part of the AGN KSP: monitoring of selected sources, covering different source classes and variability patterns
- Regular sampling and unprecedented sensitivity
  - Extremely accurate and unbiased correlation studies
  - Precise SED modeling
  - Intranight variability



## Source monitoring with CTAO

- Part of the AGN KSP: monitoring of selected sources, covering different source classes and variability patterns
- Regular sampling and unprecedented sensitivity
  - Extremely accurate and unbiased correlation studies
  - Precise SED modeling
  - Intranight variability

Require MWL coverage and simultaneity



#### 22

### Detection of rare VHE emitters during MWL flares

- IBL source S3 1227+25 located at redshift 0.325 (debated)
- *Fermi*-LAT hard state **triggered** VERITAS observations and detection
- MWL campaign initiated
- Fast variability of timescale 6.2 hours
  - Compact region





### Detection of rare VHE emitters during MWL flares

- IBL source S3 1227+25 located at redshift 0.325 (debated)
- *Fermi*-LAT hard state **triggered** VERITAS observations and detection
- MWL campaign initiated
- Fast variability of timescale 6.2 hours
  - Compact region
- SED well fitted by a single-zone SSC model





#### 24

### Detection of rare VHE emitters during MWL flares

- IBL source S3 1227+25 located at redshift 0.325 (debated)
- *Fermi*-LAT hard state **triggered** VERITAS observations and detection
- MWL campaign initiated
- Fast variability of timescale 6.2 hours
  - Compact region
- SED well fitted by a single-zone SSC model

<u>See talk by D. Morcuende-Parrilla</u> VHE emission at the cosmic gamma-ray horizon: Detection of quasar OP313 at redshift z=0.997 with LST-1





## Ingredients for groundbreaking variability studies (Cta



## Ingredients for groundbreaking variability studies (Cta

#### Excellent sensitivity + regular monitoring of a few, snapshotting of many, and fast reaction to alerts

#### Part of the AGN key science project

<u>See Talk by G. Grolleron</u>: Active Galactic Nuclei variability studies with the Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory

<u>See poster by L,Nikolić</u> Benchmarking the CTAO through observations of the TeV blazar PKS 2155-304 <u>See talk by D. Morcuende-Parrilla</u> VHE emission at the cosmic gamma-ray horizon: Detection of guasar OP313 at redshift z=0.997 with LST-1

See poster by L. Greaux: Extragalactic Background Light insights from current and upcoming gamma-ray observatories See poster by C. Buisson Lorentz invariance violation search with the Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory Large-Sized Telescope

# TeV blazars and their role in neutrino astronomy



<u>See talk by G. M. Cicciari</u> Evaluation of the Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory performance to the gamma-ray emission from neutrino sources detectable by the IceCube and KM3NeT neutrino telescopes

See poster by 0. Sergijenko Sensitivity of the Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory to the gamma-ray emission from neutrino sources detected by IceCube











cherenkov telescope array

## Extra slides

## TeV blazars and their role in neutrino astronomy: PKS 0735+178

Neutrinos from extragalactic sources:

- TXS 0506+056 (Aartsen et al. 2018),
- Seyfert galaxy NGC 1068 (Aartsen et al. 2020; Abbasi et al. 2022)

Source PKS 0735+178 (z = 0.45)

- Several neutrino alerts (IceCube Coll. 2021, Dzhilkibaev et al. 2021, Filippini et al. 2022, Petkov et al. 2021)
- Multifrequency campaign in December 2021, including NuSTAR
- Broadband, leptonic and lepto-hadronic models
  - Severe constraints from X-ray and gamma rays
  - Gamma-ray spectra requires external photon field (in agreement with Sahakyan 2022, Prince 2023)
  - Lepto-hadronic model explains reasonably well the observations, including neutrinos, by requiring a **high jet power**

