Status of the GW field and perspectives with current and future GW detectors





ЕΊ



M. Branchesi Gran Sasso Science Institute INFN/INAF/ASI















15-18 April 2024 **Bologna**, Italy

Ground-based gravitational-wave detectors





LIGO, Hanford, WA



Where we are...

- 01, 02, 03 completed
- O4 ongoing
 - → O4a only LIGO May 2023 January 2024
 - → O4b LIGO and Virgo April 2024 February 2025





http://observing.docs.ligo.org/plan/



dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-G2302098



Low-latency pubblic alerts

O4a 81 Significant Detection Candidates

(FAR one per 6 months for compact binary merger targets)



- the majority high probability to be BBH
- ~1 2 candidate consistent with containing a NS
- ~2 3 candidates consistent with an object in the lower mass gap
- no candidates expected to have significant remnant mass outside of the final compact object

Masses in the Stellar Graveyard



Observations of gravitational waves from a binary black- hole merger



- Binary stellar-mass black holes (BBHs) exist;
- BBHs can inspiral and merge within the age of the Universe;

(LVC 2018 ApJL, 818)

• Heavy stellar-mass black holes (with mass >20 M_{\odot}) exist

(LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration) (Received 21 January 2016; published 11 February 2016)

Masses in the Stellar Graveyard



LIGO-Virgo | Frank Elavsky, Aaron Geller | Northwestern



Masses are consistent with the masses of all known neutron stars!





TIDAL DEFORMABILITY $\Lambda = (2/3)k_2[(c^2/G)(R/m)]^5$







Credits: Ronchini

Binary neutron star mergers are progenitor of short GRB

First short GRB observed off-axis



Multi-wavelength afterglow observations

 $\Gamma(heta)$

Forward shock from a structured jet





Structured off-axis jet



BNS mergers are formation of h

Radioactively powered transients



01+02+03

Masses in the Stellar Graveyard



LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA | Aaron Geller | Northwestern

90 GW EVENTS!

LVK Physical Review X, 2023, 041039

01+02+03

Masses in the Stellar Graveyard



LVK Physical Review X, 2023, 13, 011048

Some of the interesting results in O3



Masses in the Stellar Graveyard



- BH mass and spin distribution -> some indications of dynamical
 formation scenario
- GW190521 the first observational evidence of formation of IMBH

LVK Physical Review X, 2023, 13, 011048 LVK 2020, APJL, 900

Some of the interesting results in O3



Masses in the Stellar Graveyard



Some of the interesting results in O3



Masses in the Stellar Graveyard



LVK Physical Review X, 2023, 13, 011048

First result from O4



Masses in the Stellar Graveyard



LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA | Aaron Geller | Northwestern



Figure credit: Shanika Galaudage / Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur $_0^2$



Includes components of compact binary mergers detected with a False Alarm Rate (FAR) of less than 0.25 per year

Figure credit: Shanika Galaudage / Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur

GW230529 provides best evidence of compact objects existing in the lower mass gap

- Likely a neutron star merging with a mass-gap compact object
- the primary component of the source has a mass less than 5 $\rm M_{\odot}$ at 99% credibility



Primary mass m_1/M_{\odot}	$3.6\substack{+0.8 \\ -1.2}$
Secondary mass m_2/M_{\odot}	$1.4\substack{+0.6 \\ -0.2}$
Mass ratio $q = m_2/m_1$	$0.39\substack{+0.41 \\ -0.12}$
Total mass M/M_{\odot}	$5.1\substack{+0.6 \\ -0.6}$
Chirp mass \mathcal{M}/M_{\odot}	$1.94\substack{+0.04 \\ -0.04}$
Detector-frame chirp mass $(1+z)\mathcal{M}/M_{\odot}$	$2.026\substack{+0.002\\-0.002}$
Primary spin magnitude χ_1	$0.44\substack{+0.40\\-0.37}$
Effective inspiral-spin parameter $\chi_{\rm eff}$	$-0.10\substack{+0.12\\-0.17}$
Effective precessing-spin parameter $\chi_{\rm p}$	$0.40\substack{+0.39 \\ -0.30}$
Luminosity distance $D_{\rm L}/{\rm Mpc}$	201^{+102}_{-96}
Source redshift z	$0.04\substack{+0.02\\-0.02}$

- updated local NSBH merger rate: 30-200 Gpc⁻³yr⁻¹
- most probable detected NSBH to have undergone tidal disruption (increased symmetry in its component masses)
- no EM couterpart: poor sky-localization

O4b started on April 10

- Virgo join O4 with a BNS sensitivity of 60 Mpc
- First «triple detection (HLV)» on Friday 13
- BBH (or possibly, NSBH) with an EXCELLENT sky localization





NO multi-messenger event after GW17017



BNS mergers are there!

• Expected a few to a few tens of MM detections per year with the current GW detectors up to z = 0.2

the current GW detectors up to z = 0.2

• Two long GRBs with kilonova emission, GRB 211211A and GRB 230307A within the current GW detector reach!

Rastinejad et al. 2022 Nature, Mei et al. 2022 Nature, Troja et al. 2022 Nature, Levan et al. 2023 Nature

GRB 211211A: GeV counterpart by Fermi-LAT



Mei et al. 2022, Nature

in EXCESS with respect to standard afterglow



Seeds photons emitted from the kilonova ejecta scattered via inverse Compton by electrons in a low-power jet launched at late times





In the era of next GW detector MM detections will be routine!





Next generation GW astronomy and multi-wavelenght follow-up

See GWIC roadmap; Bailes et al. 2021, Nature Reviews Physics; Maggiore et al 2020, JCAP; Evans et al. 2021 arXiv:2109.09882; Branchesi et al. 2023, JCAP

ET: the European 3G GW observatory concept



Triangular shape Arms: 10 km Underground Cryogenic Increase laser power Xylophone

. . .



INCLUDED IN ESFRI ROADMAP in 2021

ET collaboration



Currently **1631** members representing **237** Institutions in **28** different countries

Next generation GW effort worldwide



Cosmic Explorer: L shaped detectors, two sites (40km, 20 km [option])

EXPECTED SENSITIVITY





ESFRI ET Science in a nutshell







Combination of

- · distances and masses explored
- number of detections
- detections with very high SNR

wealth of data expected to revolutionize astrophysics, nuclear physics, cosmology and fundamental physics

Science with the Einstein Telescope: a comparison of different designs

Marica Branchesi,^{1,2,*} Michele Maggiore,^{3,4,*} David Alonso,⁵ Charles Badger,⁶ Biswajit Banerjee,^{1,2} Freija Beirnaert,⁷ Enis Belgacem,^{3,4} Swetha Bhagwat,^{8,9} Guillaume Boileau,^{10,11} Ssohrab Borhanian,¹² Daniel David Brown,¹³ Man Leong Chan,¹⁴ Giulia Cusin,^{15,3,4} Stefan L. Danilishin,^{16,17} Jerome Degallaix,¹⁸ Valerio De Luca,¹⁹ Arnab Dhani,²⁰ Tim Dietrich,^{21,22} Ulyana Dupletsa,^{1,2} Stefano Foffa,^{3,4} Gabriele Franciolini,⁸ Andreas Freise,^{23,16} Gianluca Gemme,²⁴ Boris Goncharov,^{1,2} Archisman Ghosh,⁷ Francesca Gulminelli,²⁵ Ish Gupta,²⁰ Pawan Kumar Gupta,^{16,26} Jan Harms,^{1,2} Nandini Hazra,^{1,2,27} Stefan Hild,^{16,17} Tanja Hinderer,²⁸ lk Siong Heng,²⁹ Francesco Iacovelli,^{3,4} Justin Janquart,^{16,26} Kamiel Janssens,^{10,11} Alexander C. Jenkins,³⁰ Chinmay Kalaghatgi,^{16,26,31} Xhesika Koroveshi,^{32,33} Tjonnie G.F. Li,^{34,35} Yufeng Li,³⁶ Eleonora Loffredo,^{1,2} Elisa Maggio,²² Michele Mancarella,^{3,4,37,38} Michela Mapelli,^{39,40,41} Katarina Martinovic,⁶ Andrea Maselli,^{1,2} Patrick Meyers,⁴² Andrew L. Miller,^{43,16,26} Chiranjib Mondal,²⁵ Niccolò Muttoni,^{3,4} Harsh Narola,^{16,26} Micaela Oertel,⁴⁴ Gor Oganesyan,^{1,2} Costantino Pacilio,^{8,37,38} Cristiano Palomba,⁴⁵ Paolo Pani,⁸ Antonio Pasqualetti,⁴⁶ Albino Perego,^{47,48} Carole Périgois, 39,40,41 Mauro Pieroni, 49,50 Ornella Juliana Piccinni,⁵¹ Anna Puecher,^{16,26} Paola Puppo,⁴⁵ Angelo Ricciardone,^{52,39,40} Antonio Riotto,^{3,4} Samuele Ronchini,^{1,2} Mairi Sakellariadou,⁶ Anuradha Samajdar,²¹ Filippo Santoliquido, 39,40,41 B.S. Sathyaprakash, 20,53,54 Jessica Steinlechner,^{16,17} Sebastian Steinlechner,^{16,17} Andrei Utina,^{16,17} Chris Van Den Broeck^{16,26} and Teng Zhang^{9,17}

ET design: 2L vs Triangle science case



- New updated Science Case Paper for ET
- Detailed comparison among different configurations and designs through simple metrics and specific science cases

JCAP07

N

0

 \sim

ω

σ

 $\mathbf{0}$



ET design: 2L vs Triangle science case

• the 15 km 2L misaligned is superior on almost all the metrics with respect to triangle 10 km

for compact binary coalescences, the 15 km 2L misaligned enable an improvement factors of order
 2-3 on the number of events which pass given cuts on SNR and on the accuracy of parameter reconstruction

ET Blue-book (work in progress):

- the blue book will enlarge the scientific cases and include covering several assumptions and models to provide a global overview of the science
- Ready in Autumn



Multi-messenger in the ET era: a few numbers

A few ET numbers



ET sky-localization capabilities





ET low frequency sensitivity make it possibile To localize BNS!

- O(100) detections per year with sky-localization (90% c.r.) < 100 sq. deg
- Early warning alerts!

Network sky-localization capabilities





O(1000) detections per year with sky-localization (90% c.r.) < 10 sq. deg

Dupletsa et al. 2023, Ronchini et al. 2022



Hundred of MM events per year!

RELATIVISTIC JET PHYSICS, GRB EMISSION MECHANISMS, COSMOLOGY and MODIFIED GRAVITY



Credit: Ronchini

KILONOVA PHYSICS, NUCLEOSYNTHESIS, NUCLEAR PHYISCS and H0 ESTIMATE

HYIS

Image credit: NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

HIGH-ENERGY

RELATIVISTIC JET PHYSICS, GRB EMISSION MECHANISMS, COSMOLOGY and MODIFIED GRAVITY

COSMOLOGY and MODIFIED GRAVITY



Prompt and afterglow emission from a structured jet



BNS population calibrated using Fermi and Swift short GRBs



Almost all detected short GRB will have a GW counterpart around 70% ET and 95% ET+CE

Depending on the satellites, we will have **tens to hunreds** of detections per year



Crucial instruments able to localize at arcmin-arcsec level to drive the ground-based follow-up!

Ronchini, MB et al. A&A 2022

Joint detection GW+X-ray afterglow per year WFX-ray monitors

Redshift distribution of joint X-ray+GW detections observed in pointing mode



WFX-ray telescopes

- significant increase of joint detections: tens-hundreds per year
- enable to study jet structure
- trigger ground-based followup and more sensitive instrument such as ATHENA

Joint GW+Xray detections

Ronchini, MB, Oganesyan, et al. A&A 2022

Prioritization of triggers required

Sky-localization

	ET	ET+CE	ET+2CE	
N _{det}	143970	458801	592565	
$N_{\rm det}(\Delta\Omega < 1~{\rm deg}^2)$	2	184	5009	
$N_{\rm det}(\Delta\Omega < 10~{\rm deg}^2)$	10	6797	154167	
$N_{\rm det}(\Delta\Omega < 100~{\rm deg}^2)$	370	192468	493819	
$N_{\rm det}(\Delta\Omega < 1000~{\rm deg}^2)$	2791	428484	585317	

Viewing angle



Distance

Too large numbers of triggers well localized to be followed-up



Ronchini et al. A&A 2022

Pre-merger detections



	```	~ /	~				
Configuration	$\Delta\Omega_{90\%}$	All orientation BNSs			BNSs with $\Theta_v < 15^\circ$		
	$[deg^2]$	$30 \min$	$10 \min$	$1 \min$	$30 \min$	$10 \min$	$1 \min$
$\Delta 10 { m km}$	10	0	1	5	0	0	0
	100	10	39	113	2	8	20
	1000	85	293	819	10	34	132
	All detected	905	4343	23597	81	393	2312
2L 15 km misaligned	10	0	1	8	0	0	0
	100	20	54	169	2	7	26
	1000	194	565	1399	23	73	199
	All detected	2172	9598	39499	198	863	3432

### ET alone Branchesi, Maggiore et al. 2023, JCAP



## CTA and GW DETECTOR synergies



GRB 190114C (MAGIC) GRB 180720B(HESS) Afterglow VHE emission! LHAASO experiment detected the gamma ray burst GRB 221009A up to energies > 10 TeV

# **Observation strategy: MST**



# **ET+CE:** ten VHE counterparts can potentially be detected using 10% of the CTA time

Banerjee, Oganesyan, Branchesi et al 2023, A&A

# THERMAL EMISSION - KILONOVAE

KILONOVA PHYSICS, NUCLEOSYNTHESIS, NUCLEAR PHYISCS and COSMOLOGY

PHYISCS and COSMOLOGY

# GW/KILONOVAE

BNSs detected with a sky-localization  $< 40 \text{ deg}^2$ 



Two filter (g and i) observations repeated the first and second night after the merger and an exposure time for each pointing of 600 s

Branchesi, Maggiore et al. 2023, JCAP

- Sevaral tens per year of joint detections of VRO and ET
- Several hundreds when ET operates in network of detectors (also current generation ones)



Loffredo, Hazra, Dupletsa et al. in prep Bisero et al in prep Colombo et al. In prep



### Some of the next generation multi-messenger observatories

A REVOLUTION IN OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE EARLY UNIVERSE, BH and NS TRANNSIENT PHENOMENA ALONG THE COSMIC HISTORY...

