### Timing resolution and FEBv6 preseries performances Federica Bradascio CEA-IRFU, Paris-Saclay

NectarCAM Bordeaux Collaboration Meeting

11 October, 2022







### Introduction

- Overview of studies performed on the entire camera and on 10 modules equipped with new FEBv6
- All tests performed in the testbench in CEA, Saclay

### **Timing performance**

- Single pixel timing precision
- PMT transit time
- Global camera timing precision
- Camera trigger timing accuracy

### **Verification of FEBv6**

- Deadtime
- Single pixel timing precision
- Linearity

# **Flat-Field Calibration** (FFCL)

### **Random Generator**

Federica Bradascio

### Light sources

Laser

### Night Sky Background





**TiCkS module associates UCTS timestamp to the** triggered signal

# TOM calculation

ADC

- Time of Maximum (TOM) calculated for each waveform and each pixel
- 2 methods used:
  - Identifying the position of the largest peak of the waveform using the function scipy.signal.find peaks
  - Gaussian fit of the largest peak of the waveform

Measure of the reconstructed signal arrival time of the pulse = temporal position of the pulse maximum in the sampled window



## Timing performance

# Single pixel timing precision

Requirement: the RMS uncertainty on the mean relative arrival time in all pixels does not exceed 1 ns for amplitudes > 20 photons (5 p.e.)

- Camera illuminated by the laser source at a frequency of 1 kHz and intensity between 8.0 - 20 nW (1-200 p.e.)
- Time of maximum of each pulse for each pixel is measured using both methods
- Weighted mean of the RMS over all the pixels

### Single pixel timing precision < 1 ns between 10 – 1000 photons



Performance tests of the NectarCAM, a MST camera for CTA

# PMT transit time

- Each pixel works at a different HV to have the nominal gain of 40000  $\rightarrow$  PMTs introduce different delays creating an offset between pixels
- It can be corrected at the analysis level
- Measurements: PMT set to the same HV and illuminated with FFCLS
- Fit performed for each pixel

Transfer time of the electron avalanche in the PMT, depending on the high voltage applied to the dynodes



### PMT transit time



### The TOM of each pixel is shifted to the value of the fit at 1000 V

Performance tests of the NectarCAM, a MST camera for CTA

# Global camera timing precision

Requirement: the RMS of the  $\Delta t$  for each pair of pixel has to be < 2 ns for an illumination of 5 p.e. and background level of 0.125 p.e./ns

- Camera illuminated with laser source at ~20 p.e.
- TOM for each pixel and each event is calculated
- Δt for each pair of pixel is calculated with and without PMT transit time correction
- $\Delta t$  reduced from **0.77 ns** to **0.22 ns**



# Camera trigger time accuracy

Requirement: the RMS uncertainty on the trigger timestamp for an illumination of 200 p.e. and a background level of 0.125 p.e./ns has to be < 5 ns

- Camera illuminated with laser source with intensity between 2 - 50 p.e.
- For each measurement the start time of the laser flashes are recorded with a TiCkS board:

 $\Delta t_{\rm TiCks} = t_{\rm UCTS} - t_{\rm laser}$ 

• Distribution of the time difference of 2 consecutive events giving an upper limit on the accuracy of the timestamps for a periodic input signal:

$$\Delta t_{\text{UCTS}} = t_{\text{UCTS},i} - t_{\text{UCTS},i-1}$$



### Verification of the FEB v6

### Front End Board version 6 Preseries FEBv6 using NECTAr chip v3

- 10 FEBv6 installed in the NectarCAM camera
- FEBv6 preseries verification
- 3 parameters have been verified:
  - Linearity
  - Deadtime
  - Timing resolution



Performance tests of the NectarCAM, a MST camera for CTA

Goal: show that with new FEBv6 the deadtime is < 5% at 7 kHz trigger rate

- Deadtime measured for different voltages using 3 random sources:
  - FFCLS + random Poisson generator
  - Laser + random Poisson generator
  - NSB source
- Fit of the  $\Delta T$  between two consecutive events for each measurement
- Fit results compared to deadtime values from camera server

### FEBv6 deadtime



**ΔT** distribution using NSB source at 35 mA

### Deadtime is not dominated anymore by the NECTAr chip



### Combined deadtime using three sources: $0.745 \pm 0.001 \ \mu s$

Federica Bradascio

Performance tests of the NectarCAM, a MST camera for CTA

### FEBv6 deadtime



### The deadtime of the new FEBv6 is < 5% at 7 kHz trigger rate

Federica Bradascio

### FEBv6 deadtime

# FEBv6 single pixel timing precision

- **Requirement:** RMS uncertainty on the mean relative arrival time in the FEBv6 pixels < 1 ns for amplitudes in the range 20 to 2000 photons.
- TOM calculated for each pixel for 11 laser intensities using both methods

### The mean rms per pixel is below 1 ns for a uniform illumination with amplitude above 20 photons

Federica Bradascio

Performance tests of the NectarCAM, a MST camera for CTA



# FEBv6 linearity test is linearly proportional to the input light

# Goal: to show that the light measured by the new FEBv6

- Linearity describes the output distortion with the increasing of the incident light intensity at a given gain
- Light inputs are created by the FFCLS at 15.5 V and a set of 6 absorptive Edmund filters to obtain a calibrated fraction of the flasher intensity



### Average charge over all pixels



### In order to convert the reconstructed and true charge into units of p.e., it is necessary to correct for the high gain — low gain ratio

Federica Bradascio

### Gain ratio linear fit



### Weighted least square fit performed in the linearity region to obtain the HG/LG ratio

### FEBv6 linearity test



Federica Bradascio

Estimated charge integrated on a window of 18 ns

### Linearity is better than 5% on more than 3 decades

Gain ratio of  $13.40 \pm 0.05$ 

### Conclusions Timing performance

- Single pixel timing precision < 1 ns for incoming light of intensity between  $\sim 10$  and  $\sim 1000$  photons
- PMT transit time correction of each pixel calculated and saved in database
- Global camera timing precision is 0.2 ns after correcting for PMT transit time effect
- Timing accuracy coming from the camera trigger timestamp relative to light arrival time is below 0.5 ns
- Paper in NectarCAM collaboration review

## Conclusions

### Verification of FEBv6

- 5% on more than 3 decades
- Deadtime of the FEBv6 is 0.745 +/- 0.001  $\mu$ s
- illumination above 20 photons

### Paper in preparation

### Linearity test shows that the FEBv6 response is linear better than

### Single pixel timing precision of the FEBv6 is below 1 ns for light