



# Experimental analogue of cosmic ray transport with a laser-produced turbulent plasma

Gianluca Gregori

Bologna, May 8th 2019



#### Cosmic ray acceleration requires the presence of a turbulent plasma







- Fast particles collide with moving magnetized clouds (*Fermi, 1949*).
   Particles can gain or lose energy, but head-on collisions (gain) are slightly more probable
- First-order 'Diffusive Shock Acceleration' (*Blandford & Ostriker* 1978; *Bell* 1978) is very efficient, however in several astrophysical contexts, second-order Fermi is more relevant (*Petrosian, SSR* 173:535, 2012)
- The evolution of CRs as they are accelerated in the plasma is governed by a diffusion equation (*Kaplan, 1955; Cowsik & Sarkar, 1984; Blandford & Eichler, 1987*)

Protheroe (2004)



#### Cosmic ray acceleration requires the presence of a turbulent plasma







- Fast particles collide with moving magnetized clouds (*Fermi, 1949*).
   Particles can gain or lose energy, but head-on collisions (gain) are slightly more probable
- First-order 'Diffusive Shock Acceleration' (*Blandford & Ostriker* 1978; *Bell* 1978) is very efficient, however in several astrophysical contexts, second-order Fermi is more relevant (*Petrosian, SSR* 173:535, 2012)
- In addition to astrophysical sources, laboratory plasmas can also potentially accelerate particle

Protheroe (2004)



## Turbulence is driven by accretion shocks in galaxy clusters





- The overall state of the Universe is akin to that of a turbulent and magnetized fluid
- ➔ Shocks inject vorticity into the intra-cluster medium
- ➔ Assume there are tiny magnetic fields generated before structure formation
- Magnetic fields are then amplified to dynamical strength and coherence length by turbulent motions



### Turbulence is driven by accretion shocks in galaxy clusters





Van Dyke, Album of Fluid motion

#### Vorticity



- The overall state of the Universe is akin to that of a turbulent and magnetized fluid
- Laboratory experiments can produce turbulent fluids. More challenging is to generate turbulent and magnetized plasmas



We study magnetized turbulence and particle acceleration using laser facilities



Nanosecond pulses (10<sup>-9</sup> s) Mega-joules energy Petawatt peak powers (10<sup>15</sup> W)







- ➔ We use experiments to create colliding jets of plasmas
  - Plasma flows are created by firing two sets of laser beams
  - Flow initially destabilized by interaction with a grid
- ➔ In the collision region, strong turbulence is generated
- → At the same time, magnetic fields are amplified by turbulent dynamo





Tzeferacos et al. Nature Comm. (2018)





- ➔ We use experiments to create colliding jets of plasmas
  - Plasma flows are created by firing two sets of laser beams
  - Flow initially destabilized by interaction with a grid
- ➔ In the collision region, strong turbulence is generated
- → At the same time, magnetic fields are amplified by turbulent dynamo





Tzeferacos et al. Nature Comm. (2018)





- → We use experiments to create colliding jets of plasmas
  - Plasma flows are created by firing two sets of laser beams
  - Flow initially destabilized by interaction with a grid
- ➔ In the collision region, strong turbulence is generated
- → At the same time, magnetic fields are amplified by turbulent dynamo



Tzeferacos et al. Nature Comm. (2018)



Several plasma diagnostics characterize the properties of turbulence and fields





- → X-ray emission used to on determine density variations (for subsonic flows, spectrum of density fluctuation is the same as that of kinetic energy)
- → Faraday rotation used to determine magnetic field intensity
- → Proton deflectometry used to measure magnetic field spectrum
- Thomson-scattering used to measure plasma temperature, fluid velocity and turbulent velocity







- Density/velocity power spectrum is consistent with Kolmogorov's k<sup>-5/3</sup> power-law
- → Magnetic field power spectrum is consistent with k<sup>-1</sup> power-law (predicted for dynamo produced magnetic fields)



#### We have measured the properties of the turbulence in the plasma





- → Density/velocity power spectrum is consistent with Kolmogorov's k<sup>-5/3</sup> power-law
- Magnetic field power spectrum is consistent with k<sup>-1</sup> power-law (predicted for dynamo produced magnetic fields)
- → The magnetic field probability distribution function is **not Gaussian**
- → Good agreement with numerical predictions (FLASH code)



- The fractional volume with magnetic fields B>VB<sub>rms</sub> shows a non-Gaussian behaviour
- Magnetic field spatial distribution shows islands of large field strength surrounded by regions of weak field
- Spatially intermittent magnetic fields are believed to be more representative of the ISM/IGM B-field distribution

#### Magnetic fields and CR's observed on Earth

nysics





Matthews et al. (2018)

- Extragalactic CRs will traverse the magnetic fields present in the IGM
- The spectral distribution of the turbulent fields and the particle energy (gyroradius vs. the correlation length of the fields) determine how CRs will diffuse through cosmic plasma (*Jokipii 1966, Subedi et al. 2017*), setting their mean free path and the diffusion coefficient (*Batchelor 1953*)
- Spatial super- ,sub-, or normal diffusion? (Jokipii & Parker 1969, Reville et al. 2008, Lazarian & Yan 2014)



#### Simulating UHECR with fusion protons





Chen et al. arXiv 1808.04430 (2018)

 3 MeV and 15 MeV produced by DD and D<sup>3</sup>He fusion reactions

- 300 µm pinhole used to collimate proton beam
- As protons pass through the turbulent plasma they acquire transverse deflections (diffusion)
- Larmor radius of these protons much larger than magnetic field correlation length:

$$r_g/\ell_c > 10^3$$

An analogue for Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR)!



# Many possible mechanisms for magnetic field generation





- The proton path length is accurately evaluated in the simulations (direct measurement) and the experiment (FWHM of the interaction region from X-ray imaging)
- Interaction length:  $\ell_i \sim 0.5 1.5$  mm



#### We use our experimental platform to study proton transport through plasma







## Significant broadening of the proton beam is observed







## Significant broadening of the proton beam is observed







### Deflections are due to stochastic magnetic fields





• The protons of the beam obtain a transverse velocity

$$\Delta v_\perp = rac{e}{m_p V_p} \int_0^{\ell_i} E(z) dz$$

- The electric field is given by the generalized Ohm's law
- The transverse velocity is independent of the proton energy: deflections are due to B-fields
- From the measured deflection velocity, we can estimate the angular scattering coefficient in velocity space

$$u = rac{\left(\Delta v_{\perp}/V_p
ight)^2}{ au} \qquad au = \ell_i/V_p$$



#### For an infinite, isotropic plasma we can estimate the diffusion coefficient





If we had an infinite isotropic plasma, the derived scattering rate implies a diffusion coefficient

$$\kappa = rac{V_p^2}{
u} = rac{\ell_i V_p^3}{\left(\Delta v_{\perp}
ight)^2}$$



Since  $\kappa/V^3$  is constant, it means that

$$(\Delta v_\perp)^2 \propto \ell_i \propto au$$

This implies normal (Markovian) spatial diffusion (Tsytovich 1977, Salchi 2009, Subedi et al. 2017)



#### Experimental data are consistent with simple theory of UHECR diffusion





 $\lambda/\ell_c \sim (V_p/
u)/\ell_c \propto (r_g/\ell_c)^2$ 

- → Protons in the experiment have a ratio  $l_c/r_g$  that is the same as that of 10 EeV UHECR interacting with the Galactic magnetic field
- ➔ In this high energy regime, the experiment shows that the mean free path depends only on the Larmor radius consistent with numerical simulations
- → This is independent of the structure of turbulence: in the experiment we have  $k^{-1}$  and in *Subedi et al.*  $k^{-3/2}$



## Intermittency of the magnetic field is not important for UHECR diffusion





- In the Auger data we see a strong anisotropy of CR arrival directions above 8 EeV (intermittency?)
- For correlated random walks, the diffusion coefficient is modified as (Shukurov et al. 2017):

$$\kappa = rac{\ell_i V_p^3}{\left(\Delta v_{\perp}
ight)^2} \Big[ 1 + rac{2 \left< \cos \Delta heta 
ight>}{1 - \left< \cos \Delta heta 
ight>} \Big]$$

• Since  $\Delta \theta \ll 1$  in the experiment, we expect diffusion coefficient to be  $\propto r_g^2$ 

Shukurov et al. (2017)



# On NIF we expect to reach conditions where intermittency is important





- At the National Ignition Facility (NIF) laser, turbulence reaches equipartition at much larger values of the magnetic field
- → Proton deflections are **not** in the small angle regime (as seen in the data)
- Expect significant departures from normal diffusion (work in progress)





#### Summary

- The interplay between charged particles and turbulent magnetic fields is crucial to understanding how CRs propagate through space
- We have designed a novel experimental platform at Laser Facilities to study astrophysical processes in magnetized turbulence
- Collimation of fusion protons enabled us to create an experimental analogue of UHECR transport in magnetized turbulence
- We fully characterized the proton diffusion in the experiments, recovering deflection velocities, angular scattering coefficients, spatial diffusion coefficients, and mean free paths that are consistent with normal diffusion and a random walk picture
- The experiments validated theoretical tools and simulations used in analyzing the propagation of UHECRs through the IGM





#### Thanks to a fantastic team!

L.E. Chen<sup>1</sup>, P. Tzeferacos<sup>2</sup>, A.F.A. Bott<sup>1</sup>, A. Rigby<sup>1</sup>, A.R. Bell<sup>1</sup>, R. Bingham<sup>3,4</sup>, C. Graziani<sup>2</sup>,

J. Katz<sup>5</sup>, M. Koenig<sup>6</sup>, C.K. Li<sup>7</sup>, R. Petrasso<sup>7</sup>, H.-S. Park<sup>8</sup>, J.S. Ross<sup>8</sup>, D. Ryu<sup>9</sup>,

D. Ryutov<sup>8</sup>, T.G. White<sup>1,10</sup>, B. Reville<sup>11</sup>, J. Matthews<sup>2</sup>, J. Meinecke<sup>1</sup>, F. Miniati<sup>1</sup>, E.G. Zweibel<sup>12</sup>, S. Sarkar<sup>1</sup>, A.A. Schekochihin<sup>1</sup>, D.H. Froula<sup>5</sup>, D.Q. Lamb<sup>2</sup>, and G. Gregori<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>U Oxford <sup>2</sup>U Chicago <sup>3</sup>RAL <sup>4</sup>U Strathclyde <sup>5</sup>LLE-U Rochester <sup>6</sup>LULI <sup>7</sup>MIT <sup>8</sup>LLNL <sup>9</sup>UNIST <sup>10</sup>U Nevada-Reno <sup>11</sup>MPIK Heidelberg <sup>12</sup>U Wisconsin-Madison