

Cherenkov Transparency Coefficient Part 1: Atmospheric calibration

CTA CCF Meeting, Barcelona October 2017

Stanislav Stefanik (Charles University, Prague)

Cherenkov Transparency Coefficient (H.E.S.S.)

$$CTC = \frac{1}{N_{tel}} \sum_{i}^{N_{tel}} t_i$$
$$= \frac{1}{N_{tel}} \sum_{i}^{N_{tel}} \frac{\left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{1}{M} R_i(\theta_{zen} = 0^\circ)\right]^{\frac{1}{1.7}}}{\mu_i g_i}$$

- hardware independent for H.E.S.S.-I
- sensitive to atmosphere only
- atmospheric monitoring using CTC:
 - contemporaneous with the data taking
 - performed in the same direction and
 FoV as the actual observation

- N_{tel} ... number of telescopes
- *R* ... trigger rate
- μ ... muon efficiency
- *g* ... gain
- \mathcal{N} ... normalization
- *M* ... multiplicity correction



Hahn et al., Astropart. Phys. 54, 25, 2014





• CTC uses stereo trigger rates: complex dependencies



CTC in CTA (current state)



- final decision (at least for now :-):
 - calibrate only within pairs of telescopes
 - apply for many pairs and constrain the calibration quantities
- transparency estimates for telescope pairs (*i*, *j*):



Monte Carlo simulations



- CORSIKA (v. 6990) + sim_telarray (21/12/2016)
- primary particles: **protons**
- energy range: 4 GeV 100 TeV
- site: La Palma
- atmospheric profile: atm. 36
- aerosols: atm_trans_2147_1_3_0_0_0
- number of showers: **250000**
- core re-scattering: 20
- telescopes: MST-F, LST
- array layout: 3AL4M15-5-F + some "testing" layouts
- jobs: > 50



Decouple zenith & azimuth angle dependence from other issues:

- fixed azimuth $\Phi = 0^{\circ}$ (i.e. along the x-axis)
- zenith angle *θ* ∈ [0°,60°]
- fixed magnetic field **B** ≈ 0
- telescope separation *D* ∈ [1 m, 640 m]
- telescope alignment w.r.t.
 the shower direction β ∈ [0^o,90^o]
- fixed telescope efficiencies $\varepsilon = 1.0$
- fixed atmosphere







Telescope alignment



Telescope alignment









- θ ... zenith angle of the air shower
- Φ ... azimuth angle of the shower w.r.t. the magnetic North
- β ... angle between the telescope line and the shower direction
- $d_{\rm SP} = D \cdot \sqrt{1 \sin^2 \theta \cdot \cos^2 \beta}$



Angle between shower direction & line connecting telescopes

Other issues



- Hardware dependence:
 - rates proportional to the product of telescope efficiencies
 - for the purpose of atmospheric calibration, the values of telescope optical efficiencies can be taken from previous night
- Magnetic field dependence:
 - non-negligible influence of the Earth's magnetic field
 - depends on the zenith (θ) & azimuth (Φ) angles and telescope pair alignment (β)

I will cover this in more detail in the array calibration talk on **Wednesday**.

Atmospheric calibration



ICRC '17 contribution:

- layout 3AL4M15-5-F (La Palma)
- no change in atmospheric conditions
- 3 different configurations (θ , ϕ)
- transparency estimates for telescope pairs (i, j):

$$\tau_{ij}(AOD) = \frac{R_{ij}(AOD, D, \theta, \beta, \vec{B}, \varepsilon)}{F(D, \theta, \beta, \vec{B}, \varepsilon)}$$

- fits of the stereo trigger rate vs. d_{SP} and θ
- B-field contribution neglected
- ϵ taken as results of the telescope inter-calibration (Wednesday talk)

 $CTC(AOD) = \overline{\tau_{ii}} = 1.02 \pm 0.04$

arXiv:1709.01117



A very preliminary result: precision might worsen for different orientations w.r.t. the B-field



Atmospheric profiles



• March (CCF-board) results:







- solve the magnetic field issue
- parametrize the stereo trigger rate for SSTs
- once the final CTC scheme is settled, verify with the simulations of different atmospheric conditions
 - full array simulations
 - 2-3 aerosol concentrations at ~3 altitudes should be sufficient
 - clouds ?
 - need for the transmission input files: I lack the expertise for that and would greatly appreciate any help





Feasibility study of the CTC for atmospheric calibration:

- quantification of the influence of the zenith & azimuth angles, telescope alignment
- ongoing study of the Earth's magnetic field influence (Wednesday)
- CTC seems to be independent of the molecular profiles
- CTC consistent with the expectation for the B ≈ 0 case (but only 1 atmosphere tested)
- preliminary results presented at ICRC '17 (poster)
- aerosol simulations necessary





Table 1: Fit results of the parameters of the Eq.(2.2) to the relation $P = p_0$. $[1 + \exp(p_1 \cdot (\cos \theta - p_2))]^{-1}$. A_1 can be described by the expression $p_0 \cdot [\cos(p_1 \cdot \theta - p_2)] + p_3$, where $p_0 = (5.9 \pm 0.5)e^{-4}$, $p_1 = 6.2 \pm 0.3$, $p_2 = 53.9 \pm 7.4, p_3 = (8.63 \pm 0.03)e^{-3}$ and θ is given in degrees.

$$R_{\text{Fit}}(d_{\text{SP}}) = \begin{cases} A_0 \cdot e^{A_1 \cdot (d_{\text{SP}} - A_3)}, & \text{if } d_{\text{SP}} < A_3 \\ A_0 \cdot e^{A_2 \cdot (d_{\text{SP}} - A_3)}, & \text{if } d_{\text{SP}} > A_3. \end{cases}$$